L. R.
(1891-92)

219 of 92
1 to 19

Subst Dispute Regarding the closing of a Spring Channel Excavated by the Ryots Nandirajana halli in Madakasira Taluk in British Territory —

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

File No. 219-92

Serial Nos 1 to 10

192 .

( L - R. )

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1 to 10.

**Part B for** December 1893

---

SUBJECT.

Dispute regarding the closing of a footpath Named Ekaramkad by the ryots of Nandikurapadakkal in Malabar Taluk, in British Territory.

---

Nos. 1 to 10.

No. 547/19-12-1893.

Enclosures Copy of Proceedings of Sub-Collector of Tellicherry, Malabar Taluk, informing that the Government have directed the case.

24/1/22

Enclosed

7/2/22

WD 1393—GPE—16,826—22-7-21

**File No.** 2110/92 — General Branch.

**Subject.** Levelling of Sir Sam Steel's encamp'd by the Govt of Sandringham in Madagascar Bank in British Territory.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

| Serial No. | Communication No. | Date. | From or to whom. | Subject in abstract. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 20 | 7/92 | D. [illegible] | Stating that the Steel was killed in [illegible] village of the Upper Territory and requesting that [illegible] |
| 2. | 1102/213 | 8/11/92 | D.S. [illegible] | Calling for report about the Steel. |
| 3. | 457 | 16/11/92 | Do — | Submitting the report called for and recommending that the British Govt may recall and the principle concerning the Steel — |
| 4. | 7977/1957-92 | 7/12/92 | D.S. Govt. | Forwarding Copy of the above alleging to the Govt. Comm'r to the Govt of the village in the Upper Province. |
| 5. | 487 | 15/12/92 | D.S. [illegible] | Relating to the call [illegible] Stating that the [illegible] of Sir [illegible] [illegible] Calling for the [illegible] |

**File No.**

***Subject.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS.-

| Serial No. | Communication No. | Date. | From or to whom. | Subject in abstract. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. | 536. | 22/12/93 | From D.C. Colombo | Returning the original Memo of the Govt Agent with its accompaniments |
| 7. | 2832 | 24/4/93 | From Proctor & Co Proctors | Appealing against the order of the D.C. No 4503 16th Nov 92 and praying that the Spring Channel encashment within the limits of Negombo may be ordered to be closed. |
| 8. | 2832. | 6/6/93. | From do — | Declining to interfere with the order passed by the D.C. Colombo — |
| 9. | 577 | 20/8/93 | From do — | Praying that the above order may be reviewed and a hearing granted to him — |
| 10. | 577 | 19/12/93 | From do | Declining to reopen the case — |

No. 39
428-91

FROM

THE ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Amildar of Hagur.

Dated Bangalore, 7th January 1892.

SIR,

The Collector of Chitradurga has brought to the Resident's notice that a spring channel in the bed of the Chikkanadi river, in the Kandikere village of the Kadakola taluk (Nilgiri district) has been diverted by the ryot of the Singanadanahalli village of the Kadakola taluk in the Hagur State.

2. Claims that the ryot of the Hagur village in question diverted the permission of the Kadakola authorities to cut a bund on the stream, pleading that the springs of the Kandikere channel of the village situated at a distance of 200 to chains from the channel were injurious

applied to the sides of the channel in the bed of the river, and secondly that the cultivated fields situated on the southern bank of the river would be liable to erosion through the wash of the current of water flowing between the southern bank and the rising ground on the northern bank of the channel.

5. It is contended that the ruling reported in Cause Criminal No. 10 of the Civil Court, in the matter of Section of the Channel for the year 1901, Civil Channel Register No. 1 of the Civil Courts Gazette, is applicable to the present position of the channel as regards the special report of the Lyons Village Committee.

6. It is further contended that the Channel Cannot be said to affect the supply of the wells of the Lyons Village in question. They are far to the north, and in the present position of the Channel there can be no erosion, which would not be

equally

equally caused by the river alone, supposing there were no channel.

5. Instructed to report that no will be made to Court in the matter, and to pursue the Resident with the view of the Court's injunction.

6. Sum to note that the channel under reference has an average of 21—2—10, with an allowance of 1/10—, while the extent under report is 27—10—1½ having an allowance of 1/10—.

Have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chas. Lancaster

Civil Engineer to the Resident.

A.

(General Serial No. 30).

1892.

*From*

*To* Vice Deputy Consul
Zanzibar

*Dated* 6 January 1892

*Despatched* 11th

*Received*

**Enclosures No. 1**

*General No.* 11021
*No.* 213

I am directed by the
Secretary of State for
Foreign Affairs to transmit
to you herewith, for the
information of the Secretary
of State for the Colonies,
a copy of a despatch
from Her Majesty's Consul
at Zanzibar, regarding
the shelling of a dhow
cleared in British Ter-
ritory for certain Mus-
cat ports, and the seizure
of her flag, and to request
that Lord Knutsford
may be informed whether
any claim for compen-
sation in the matter
is likely to be made
hereafter on the part
of the owners of the dhow
by whom Her Majesty's Consul
is employed &c.

I am &c.
(signed)
Under

(General Serial No. 30)

5796

1892-93. G 2350

From The Deputy Commissioner
Trichinopoly

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated Trichinopoly 15th November 1892

Despatched 17th - do -

Received 19/11

Enclosures No. 3 & 5 2 0.

General No.
No. 451

Levy's docket No. 11021 of
the 8th January 1892 2/3, and
Reminder No. 3190 of the
29th August 1892 G7 211-92

— " —

Submitting a report
regarding the closing of a
Spring channel in the
bed of a jungle stream
in Springavatanalli village
of the Hosdurgini Sk, thereby
decreasing the diminution
of water to certain fields
in Nandirajanalli village
of Madakkira Taluk in
British Territory.

Dy

Mysore
5/12/92
Regt & Residency Dated
& long & voluminous Returns
unnecessary - The
Village Book may be
sent direct - The Supt's
Diary & Weekly Journal
for the second half
November - Regt &
Cash Note - Civil &
Criminal Returns.
The Report noted in this
Margin for the Month of
by the Taluk Officers Serishtadar
Circle Inspectors & Police
Head Constables & Peons
This a matter which calls
for the Dy to Three

7/12

Asst Supt
8/12

To The Dy Commr Trichinopoly
8487
G7 211-92 15/12/92

No 7977 dt 7-12-92 to the Residency
G 1961

5196
9/11/92
22350.

Deputy Commissioner's office

Tumkur District

No. 451

Tumkur 16th November 1892

3

To

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

"

Sir

With reference to your Docket No. 11021/213 of the 8th January 1892 calling for a report regarding the closing of a spring channel in the bed of a jungle stream in Gungaranahalli village of the Maddagiri Taluk of the Mysore Province thereby occasioning the diminution of water to certain fields in Vanderagunahalli in the Madakasira Taluk in British Territory, and reminder No. 3190 G.F. 211-92 of the 29th August 1892, I have the honor to state that the ryots of the said village of Mysore having, about February 1891, complained that the ryots of the said village of British

territory were deepening the bed of the stream in question within the limits of their (Mysore Ryots) village to the detriment of their fields and wells which latter they alleged were likely to lose their supply by the bed of the stream being deepened; the then Amildar of Halladagiri got the excavation closed. Thereupon some correspondence ensued between him and the Amildar of Halakshira of British territory, but somehow no final settlement was made and the correspondence ended. Hence the complaint of the British ryots.

2. From the enclosed memo of the General Assistant Commissioner of this District and its accompaniments (to be obligingly returned) it will be seen that the right of the British ryots to dig the channel in question is one of very long standing and that the allegation of the Mysore ryots that its excavation affects their

fields and wells injuriously) is alike un-
-real and untenable). & have) therefore)
issued instructions for the non interference
with the exercise of the long enjoyed
right by the British ryots. I would)
take) this opportunity to suggest that
the Collector of Amantapur be) requested
to warn the British ryots not to exceed
the accustomed excavation.

I have the honor to be)
Sir)
Your most Obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner &c)

1892

To, 5

The Hon. Justices of

15
Dated December 1892

No 8487
87211-92 & 2 Plans (Maps)

His Letter No. 45/J of the 16th December 1892, regarding the dwelling of a spring channel in British Territory by certain Mysore Ryots.

---

Has the honor to return herewith, the Memorandum of the Genal Asst Commr of his District and its accompaniments, returned with the above, with a request that copies of these may be taken by him and the originals returned to this Office as they form a part of the record.

2. It is noted that in p 6 of the Genl Asst's Memorandum it is stated that the original order of the Amildar of Nanjangud and the records upon which

From the Secretary to Govt. No. 5562-2-12-1892

which it was based are missing - The loss of these records in the Taluk is very discreditable to the Taluk Establishment, and is a matter which calls for the Deputy Commr's notice.

BD

Chief Secy:

Std 8/12

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

*From*

*The Chief Secretary*
*to the Dewan of Mysore.*

*To*

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*

*No.*

References to be marked.

7030
1892
No 556.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District.
Dated 22nd December, 1892.

To,

6

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No. 9487 of 21-12-92 of the 5th instant, I have the honor to return herewith the original Memorandum of the Local Revenue Commissioner of this District with its accompaniments and duplicate copies of the same.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

15

6
a

# Memorandum

1 = In January 1892, the Collector of the Anantapur District brought to the notice of the Resident the complaint of the Ryots of the Nandarayanahalli village in the Madakasira Taluk that acting under the authority of the Amildar of Maddagire, the Ryots of the neighbouring village of Singaravatanahalli in this Province levelled the Spring channel in the bed of the Suvarnamukhi River which irrigated their wet lands paying an assessment of Rs 190-15-a

no 39-428-91 of 7-1-1892

2 = The Assistant Resident thereupon requested the Dewan to cause an enquiry to be instituted into the matter =

no 11021-213 of 5-1 1892

3 = Copy of the Assistant Resident's letter was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District for Compliance —

no 1547/15 1-92

4 = On the 15th January 1892, the Deputy Commissioner deputed me to do the needful enquiry after a personal inspection of the locality —

5 — On 16th January 1892 the Amildar — no 33 —
of Madagire was directed to report what — d/16-1-1892
had transpired in the matter —

6 — On 15th February 1892, the present Amildar
submitted his reply, from which, came to
know, that the Complaint of the Nanaiyanahalli
Ryots to the Anantapur Collector originated — no 53-1/1
from the effect of the late Amildars Order — d/5-2-1892
dated 2d February 1891 in favor of the Ryots
of the Singarannanahalli village with reference
to their petition — The original Order of the
late Amildar, and the records upon which it
was based were reported missing —

7 — On 4th February 1892, a reference was made — no 107-91
to the late Amildar who is now Amildar of — d/14-2-189
Madagere, whose reply on the subject —
reached me on 22d April 1892 — no 946 —
d/19-3-1892

8 — On 5th May 1892 —, the Madagiri Amildar — no 322
was informed that according to the explanation — d/3-5-1892
of the Madagere Amildar, the papers were left
with the Taluk Munshi, and the Amildar was
instructed to make a further careful search —
The further search was made in vain; the
Taluk Sheristadar urging that the late Amildar
carried the records with him; and the late — no 487
Amildar pointing out that there was no — d/30-6-1892
occasion for his bringing the papers with him
after he was relieved of the charge of the Taluk

9 — Extract marked A from the Correspondence
Register in the Madagiri Taluk Cutchery
contains however a brief history of the
proceedings of the late Amildar — It would

appear therefrom that on 2d February 1891, the Ryots of Singaravakanahalli headed by one Channa presented a petition to the late Amildar representing that the Nandagyanahalli Ryots were excavating in the Kuirlee a channel for conveyance of water in the absence of Mamool for their doing so, that the Kuirlee was within the limits of their village (Singaravakanahalli), and that their valuable gardens would be injured by the act of the Nandi Kayanahalli Ryots, and praying that the channel already dug might be closed — On the same day viz 2d Feby 1891 the late Amildar while calling for a report from the Sheristhdar as to the said matter duly directed the Sheristhdar to close the channel opened by the Nandagyanahalli Ryots against the Mamool, and in form those Ryots that if they had any thing to urge, they might appear in person and petition him — On the 4th February 1891, the Sheristhdar forwarded a Malagar and reported that he caused the channel to be levelled — Upon this some Correspondence ensued between the Amildar and the Maddagere Sheristhdar ending with No 204 of the 30th October 1891, proposing that both these Talook Officers should meet together on a Convenient day — They did not meet accordingly; and there was no further action by the Maddagere Amildar ——

10 - During the time of last Jamabande
On 26th August 1892, I accompanied by
the Amildar proceeded to this village,
inspected the locality, secured the presence
of the Ryots of both the villages, & some men
of the adjoining villages also, and examined
both the contending parties, and their
witnesses = their depositions on oath
as well as other papers connected with the
case accompany this Memorandum =
11 = As in the course of this enquiry it
came out that some decision had during the
Revenue Survey Operations been arrived at as
to the boundaries of the River had with reference
to the two villages in question, I made a
requisition to the Revenue Survey Superintendent
for those Records = On the 10th instant,
the Survey Superintendent has replied that no records can be traced = no 544 of 14-10-92

11 a = The accompanying sketch map shows
clearly the position of the disputed locality =
The River in dispute which rises from the
Siddanabetta hills in the Kottagere Sub
Taluk, after winding its course through
good many villages of that Sub Taluk and
the Maddagiri Taluk, at last enters the
Parenahalli village in the Mysore Province,
passes into the Raginingenahalli village
of the Anantapur Collectorate, re-enters the
Mysore village of Singaravatanahalli

now under notice, and then through the village of Ramapur in the said Collectorate, as will be seen from the Topographical Survey map of the Magazine Tank, and the accompanying Sketch map marked B, as well as the Revenue Survey map of the Singarantanahalli village marked C — The land belonging to Her Majesty's Territory is shown in the Sketch B in red Colour

12 — The disputed locality marked in blue color in the Sketch B. forms a portion of the River bed to the extent of 420 yards in length, the breadth varying from 2 to 6 yards — It is in this locality that the Complainants the Ryots of Nanderajanahalli assert that they have been exercising the right of excavating Spring Channel annually for the irrigation of their wet lands — It was this Channel which the Complainants had opened in February 1891, that was levelled by the Tahsildar on the score of the late Amildar's Order — The evidence now taken by me on the spot, leaves beyond doubt that the Complainants the Nanderajanahalli Ryots have been in the enjoyment of this right for upwards of 30 years past — At the end of the

disputed Ayan channel spot. There exists
a substantial stone dam with 2 drains
standing one over the other = The
River water is diverted through these
drains to an aqueduct (marked B in the sketch)
running to a length of about one & half
mile in the limits of the said Nandarajanahalli
village = This aqueduct irrigates 42 wet
fields of the said village, measuring 61 acres
and odd bearing an assessment of Rs 190-15-a-
13 — The evidence of the witnesses on the side
of the Complainants establishes that
the dam, and the aqueduct drawn
therefrom were constructed by the Ryots
of the Nandirajanahalli KB Village KB/ ~~Ryots~~ and
have been in existence for the last 40 years
or upwards = An extract marked D from
the original Decharar Account for the
Fasli year 1207 corresponding with A.D 1858
furnished from the Records of the
Madakasira Tahsildar's Office makes
it evident, that the existence of these
irrigation fields was mentioned in the
Government accounts so far back as 84
years ago =
14 — The Ryots of the Singarawanahalli
village the defendants, admit the existence
of the aqueduct B for some 30 years
past but contend that the amount is

one of very recent construction — the argument is illogical = Both the dam & the aqueduct must necessarily have been built simultaneously, as one is perfectly useless in the absence of the other =
One of their witnesses viz Buddegowda an old man of 70 years of age residing in the adjoining Mysore village of Karpenahalli swears, that ever since he attained the age of understanding, he has been seeing the dam and aqueduct, and that the former was improved by the Complainants some four or five years ago =
12 = One of the grounds of Contention on the side of the defendants is that — according to the Mysore Revenue Survey Settlement, the disputed portion of the River-bed is within the boundary of their village of Singarayantanahalli = As already noted above, no records as to this Settlement are traceable in the Revenue Survey Department = In the village accounts, 2 acres & 11 guntas of the River-bed is shown as belonging to the village of Singarayantanahalli, while nearly the same extent is exhibited in the accounts of the bordering village of Ramapura in the Madalapura Taluk as River-bed

pertaining to that village — 20 Colonel
Grant in his reply above quoted has
observed that the usual mode of
deciding similar questions regarding
riverbeds has been to assign half
the river to either side — Even admitting
that the disputed spot is the Riverbed
assigned to Singaravetanaiadie, I do not
think that it can affect the preemptive
right that has been in the enjoyment
of the Nandiyanchalle Ryots for upwards
of 30 years past —

16 — During my enquiry on the spot,
the defendants the Singaravetanaiadie
Ryots asserted that the Complainants
did not dig Spring channel in the River
bed at any time previous to 1891, and
that their agreement conveyed only the
flowing water of the River at times of flood —
This statement is worthy no reliance — I
have since found from the Marriage
Tahsildar Records a petition marked E dated
5th January 1876 signed by Paranna
the Chief disputant at present & other Ryots
of Singaravetanaiadie — In his petition,
they admitted that the Nandiyanchalle
people were obtaining water by digging
in the Riverbed, but Complained that
in that year they altered the Course of the

Spring Channel at Ile = The deviation was proved and the Mandragamaliè Eyots were said to accrue from it = Plts claim that what the Complainants already obtained was not simply flood water of the River as the defendants allege, but also water obtained by excavation in the bed when the River was not flowing = The existence of 5 drains one over the other-leads to the belief that while the flood water passed through the upper drains, the lowest drain received water when the River was low, & the water from the Spring Channel =

1st = The representation by the Singaramanais Agents that by the opening of the Spring Channel in the River bed, the water sources of their wells are injuriously affected, and that their fields on the River banks would be liable to erosion through the rush of the current water appears to me groundless - The situation of their garden wells and the fields on the River banks is shown in the Sketch B; while the relative distances between them and the disputed Spring Channel are given in my "Agamasi Patti" marked F, attached by both parties = Similar circumstances exist in many other villages higher up, through which the River passes = Ste it has

been proved that the Nandiyaldmalai Ryots have been digging in the Spring Channel in the disputed locality for so many years without causing the injury complained of, there is no reason why they should apprehend such injury hereafter =

15 = This is the position of the case = In my humble opinion, it seems expedient that the Ryots of the Nandiyaldmalai village should be left undisturbed in the enjoyment of their water right =

S[d] Ramier 1892 } K. Rama Rau Avl
Tumkur } Special Assistant
Commissioner

1
2
3 2
4 1
5 3
6 1
7 1
8
9
10

11 [illegible] — 27-7-92 [illegible]

12 [illegible] — [illegible]

13 [illegible] — [illegible] — 27-7-92

14 [illegible] — [illegible] — 27-7-92 — 1

15 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

16 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

17 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

18 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

19 — 2 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

20 3 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

21 4 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

22 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

23 2 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

24 3 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

25 4 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

26 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

27 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

28 [illegible] — [illegible] — 1

[illegible] — [illegible] — 1

[illegible] — [illegible] — 1

[

[illegible]

[redacted]

204

30.10.96

No. 120 Camp Ajali
31st Oct 91

J. Williams, Esq.
Jubilee,
Madulsima.

J.

The Amildar of,
Madrijire Taluq.

Sir,

With reference
to your letter No. 204
30-10-91
I have the honor
to request you
will be good enough
to move to meet

Sivaganga Thanahally on the 30th Prox.
me to [redacted] the Channel dispute
now in [redacted] there.

Thanking you

Yours sincerely

Gabriel

ಹೊಸಕೋಟೆ ಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಳಿವಿನಂಚಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿವೆ. ಇದು ಪಕ್ಷಿ ಪ್ರಿಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂತಸ ಮೂಡಿಸಿದೆ. ಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳೆದ ಕೆಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಹೂಳೆತ್ತುವ ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಡೆದಿದೆ. ಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ನೀರು ಸಂಗ್ರಹವಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆರೆಯ ಸುತ್ತಲೂ ಹಸಿರು ಬೆಳೆದಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಕೆರೆಯ ಪರಿಸರ ಸುಧಾರಿಸಿದೆ. ಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೀನುಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿವೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಪಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಹಾರ ಸಿಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಕೆರೆಯ ಸುತ್ತಲೂ ಪಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಗೂಡು ಕಟ್ಟಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ತೊಂದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸುರಕ್ಷಿತ ವಾತಾವರಣವಿದೆ. ಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಹಾರ ಮತ್ತು ನೀರು ಸಿಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

(General Serial No. 28.)

1891.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tinukia District

To The General Assistant
Tinukia Distt

Dated Tinukia 15th January
1892

Despatched

Received

No. No. 1547 | 1891-92

Number.

Have the honor to forward herewith in original to be acknowledged returned with his reply, Chief Secretary's Circular No. 11021/213 dated 3rd instant

out a complaint addressed by the British
Ryots that the ryots of Singaravathanallur
in the Maddagiri Taluk have closed
a spring channel in the bed of the
Suvarnamukhi river in the Vandiamathu
village of the Madakasira Taluk of the
British territory and to request him to
be good enough to inspect the locality
early, make the needful enquiry, and
obligingly furnish a full and complete
report with a sketch of the locality which
the Taluk Sanitary Inspector can prepare.

Yours &c

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dist

13/2/92
14
ಮಂಗಳವಾರ
128

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಷ್ಟಾಂಗ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು.

ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಭಕ್ತಾದಿಗಳ

5/2/92

ಪ್ರಿಯ ಸಹೋದರಿಗೆ,

ಹೇಗಿದ್ದೀಯೆ? ನಾನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ನಂಬಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಮೊನ್ನೆ ನಿನ್ನ ಪತ್ರ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿತು. ಅಮ್ಮನಿಗೆ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸರಿಯಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಬೇಸರವಾಯಿತು. ಈಗ ಹೇಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ? ಔಷಧಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ? ಅಪ್ಪನಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ವೈದ್ಯರಿಂದ ಚಿಕಿತ್ಸೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಲು ಹೇಳು. ಹಣದ ವಿಷಯ ಚಿಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಡ. ನಾನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಹಣ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿತೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇನ್ನಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ತಿಳಿಸು. ಮುಂದಿನ ತಿಂಗಳು ಸಂಬಳ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅಮ್ಮನಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಲು ಹೇಳು. ಅವರ ಆರೋಗ್ಯವೇ ಮುಖ್ಯ. ಅಮ್ಮನಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟವಾದ ಸೀರೆಯೊಂದನ್ನು ಖರೀದಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅಪ್ಪನಿಗೆ ಹೊಸ ಪಂಚೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅಪ್ಪನಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟವಾದ ಬಣ್ಣ ಹೇಳಲು ಹೇಳು

ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನು ನಂತರ ನೀಡಲು ಹೇಳಿದೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು
ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ — ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡತಕ್ಕೆ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ — ಅಂತಹ
ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಲಿಖಿತವಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ — ಅಂತಹ ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು
ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು
ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಭತ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು
ಪಾವತಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ — ಸಮಿತಿಯ
ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಭೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಭೆಗಳನ್ನು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು — ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ —
ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಿಂದ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು — ಸಮಿತಿಯ
ಸಭೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು —
ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ ಅಂತಿಮ
ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು —

ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಜೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯ ನಂತರ ಸಭೆ ಸೇರಿತು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೨೦೦ ಜನ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಜಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯಪ್ಪನವರು. ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು. ಅವರು ಸುಮಾರು ೧೫ ನಿಮಿಷ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು. ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ ಸಾರಾಂಶ ಹೀಗಿದೆ: ಸಂಜೆ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಹರಿಜನರು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಅನಕ್ಷರಸ್ಥರು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯ ಮಹತ್ವವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು: ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾಗೃತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಜೀವನವನ್ನು ಸುಧಾರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಭವಿಷ್ಯವನ್ನು ಉಜ್ವಲಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಮಾಜದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಕುಟುಂಬದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಆರೋಗ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಪರಿಸರವನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಭಾಷೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಧರ್ಮವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಮಾನತೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಂವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಣರಾಜ್ಯವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಮಾಜವಾದವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಜಾತ್ಯತೀತತೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಮಗ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮತ್ವವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಏಕತೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಖಂಡತೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಮಗ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮತ್ವವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಏಕತೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯಿಂದ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜನರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಖಂಡತೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ.

ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡಲು ಹಣವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಅವರು ಕೆಲಸ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೊರಟು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರು. ಆಗ ಅವನು ಒಬ್ಬನೇ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದನು. ಆದರೆ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ಅವನನ್ನು ಬಿಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಅವನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದಾವೆ ಹೂಡಿದರು. ಅವನ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಯನ್ನು ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದರು. ಅವನ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕಿಸಿದರು. ಅವನ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯ ಒಡವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಿತ್ತುಕೊಂಡರು. ಅವನ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಊಟಕ್ಕೂ ಗತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಅವನ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯ ಅಣ್ಣ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಲು ಬಂದನು. ಅವನು ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವನ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ತೀರಿಸಿದನು. ಆಗ ಅವನು ಮತ್ತೆ

[redacted]

[redacted]

[illegible]

322/1

[illegible]

Assistant [illegible]

[illegible]

407

[illegible]

10/7/92

[illegible]

3.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಮೂಲಾಧಾರ-ಸ್ವಾಧಿಷ್ಠಾನ ಚಕ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತಿರುವಿಕೆ — ಸಾಧಕನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಧನೆಯ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುವ ಲಕ್ಷಣಗಳು — ಸಾಧಕನು ಸಾಧನೆಯ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ ೨೦೧೭ (ಭಾಗ-೨)

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
ಸಂಸದೀಯ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಶಾಸನ ರಚನೆ ಇಲಾಖೆ
ವಿಕಾಸಸೌಧ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೮ ಜೂನ್ ೨೦೧೭

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, ೧೯೮೪ (೧೯೮೪ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ೨೭) ಕ್ಕೆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ (ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ) ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, ೨೦೧೭ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ (ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ) ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, ೨೦೧೭

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, ೧೯೮೪ (೧೯೮೪ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ೨೭) ಕ್ಕೆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯಗಳ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ, ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಉಪಬಂಧ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸ

| | | |

ਸੁਪਰਡੈਂਟ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਮਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੰਧੂ

ਸਾਹਿਬ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਮਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੰਧੂ ਸੁਪਰਡੈਂਟ ਗ੍ਰੇਡ-1 ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ

[illegible]

ਦਾ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਹੀ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਸਾਰੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਸਰਟੀਫਾਈਡ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਉਹ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾਇਰ ਕਰ ਸਕੇ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਹੀ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਸਜ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਨੂੰ ਮੁਲਤਵੀ ਕੀਤਾ

A. Samuel

Assistant Engineer

ਪਾਠ

ਸੰਕਲਪ ਅਤੇ ਪਰਿਭਾਸ਼ਾ—

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਧਿਆਪਕ ਅਤੇ ਪਾਠਕ ਲਈ ਨਾਇਕ ਚਰਿਤ੍ਰ ਦਾ ਅਧਿਐਨ ਕਰਨਾ ਬਹੁਤ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਨਾਇਕ ਚਰਿਤ੍ਰ ਦਾ ਅਧਿਐਨ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਨਾਇਕ ਦੀ ਪਰਿਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਅਤੇ ਸੰਕਲਪ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਨਾਇਕ ਦੀ ਪਰਿਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਅਤੇ ਸੰਕਲਪ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣ ਲਈ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਰੂਪਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਰੂਪਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣ ਲਈ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਗੁਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਗੁਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣ ਲਈ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਕਾਰਜਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਕਾਰਜਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣ ਲਈ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਸੰਵਾਦਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਸੰਵਾਦਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣ ਲਈ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਵਿਚਾਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਵਿਚਾਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣ ਲਈ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਭਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਨਾਇਕ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਭਾਵਾਂ

Yours faithfully,

A. Ramu

Assistant Commissioner

ہے۔ ہیگل کے فلسفہ تاریخ کا یہ تصور کہ تاریخ کا ہر دور اپنے سے پہلے دور کی نفی کرتا ہے اور اس کی نفی کا عمل ہی تاریخ کی حرکت کا سبب ہے، اس کے فلسفہ کی بنیاد ہے۔ اس کے نزدیک تاریخ کا ہر دور اپنے سے پہلے دور کی نفی کرتا ہے اور اس کی نفی کا عمل ہی تاریخ کی حرکت کا سبب ہے۔ اس کے فلسفہ کی بنیاد ہے۔ اس کے نزدیک تاریخ کا ہر دور اپنے سے پہلے دور کی نفی کرتا ہے اور اس کی نفی کا عمل ہی تاریخ کی حرکت کا سبب ہے۔

Yours sincerely,
Richard Thomas
Assistant Engineer.

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣੀ ਚਾਹੁੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ ਮਿਤੀ 15 ਅਗਸਤ 2023 ਨੂੰ ਸਵੇਰੇ 6 ਵਜੇ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ। ਉਹ ਕਾਫ਼ੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਤੋਂ ਬਿਮਾਰ ਚੱਲ ਰਹੇ ਸਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦਾ ਇਲਾਜ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਹਸਪਤਾਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਚੱਲ ਰਿਹਾ ਸੀ।

ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਅੰਤਿਮ ਸੰਸਕਾਰ ਦੀ ਰਸਮ ਮਿਤੀ 16 ਅਗਸਤ ਨੂੰ ਦੁਪਹਿਰ 12 ਵਜੇ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਇਸ ਦੁੱਖ ਦੀ ਘੜੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਕਿਰਪਾਲਤਾ ਕਰਨੀ ਜੀ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ,

ਆਪ ਦਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰੀ,

ਸੁਰਿੰਦਰ ਕੁਮਾਰ

ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ

ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ: ਰਾਮਪੁਰ ਖੇੜਾ

ਤਹਿ: ਬਾਗਪੁਰ, ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ: ਸੁਨਹਰੀ ਬਾਗ

ਮਿਤੀ: 17 ਅਗਸਤ 2023

Assistant Commissioner

ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਹੇਠਾਂ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਨੋਟੀਫਿਕੇਸ਼ਨ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ

ਜਨਰਲ ਸਰਵਿਸਜ਼ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਨੋਟੀਫਿਕੇਸ਼ਨ ਨੰਬਰ ੧੨੩੪ ਜੀ ਐਸ ੧੨੩੪/੧੨੩੪ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੨ ਜੁਲਾਈ ੧੯੫੬ ਈ: ਨੂੰ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਿਵਲ ਸੇਵਾ ਨਿਯਮਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਿਯਮ ੧੨੩ ਅਤੇ ੧੨੪ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਨੂੰ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਅਤੇ ਜਨਤਕ ਹਿਤ ਵਿਚ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੫ ਅਗਸਤ ੧੯੫੬ ਈ: ਤੋਂ ਤਿੰਨ ਮਹੀਨੇ ਦੀ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਦੇ ਕੇ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਤੋਂ ਹਟਾਇਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਦੀ ਕੁੱਲ ਮਿਆਦ ੨੩ ਸਾਲ ੪ ਮਹੀਨੇ ੧੭ ਦਿਨ ਬਣਦੀ ਹੈ। ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਪੈਨਸ਼ਨ ਦਾ ਕੇਸ ਵੱਖਰੇ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਤਿਆਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ।

ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ, ਸੰਗਰੂਰ, ਮਿਤੀ ੩ ਮਾਰਚ ੧੯੫੭ ਈ:

ਹੁਕਮ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ, ਜੋ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਕਲਰਕ ਦੀ ਅਸਥਾਈ ਅਸਾਮੀ ਤੇ ਕੰਮ ਕਰ ਰਹੇ ਸਨ, ਨੂੰ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਜੀ,

ਤਹਸੀਲਦਾਰ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਐਸ.ਡੀ.ਐਮ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਦਰਬਾਰਾ ਸਿੰਘ

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਪਟਵਾਰੀ ਸਾਹਿਬਾਨ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਹਲਕੇ ਦੇ ਪਿੰਡਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਜਾ ਕੇ ਮੁਨਾਦੀ ਕਰਵਾ ਦੇਣ ਕਿ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਹਥਿਆਰ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੇ ਹਥਿਆਰ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਵਾ ਦੇਣ ਅਤੇ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਹਥਿਆਰ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਹੋਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੇ ਹਥਿਆਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਲਾਇਸੰਸ ਨਵਿਆਉਣ ਲਈ ਅਪਲਾਈ ਕਰ ਦੇਣ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਲਾਇਸੰਸ ਨਵਿਆਏ ਜਾ ਸਕਣ ਅਤੇ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਹਥਿਆਰ ਵਾਪਸ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾ ਸਕਣ।

ਇਹ ਹੁਕਮ ਮਿਤੀ ੨੫ ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ ੨੦੧੭ ਤੱਕ ਲਾਗੂ ਰਹੇਗਾ।

ਹੁਕਮ ਜਾਰੀ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੮ ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ ੨੦੧੭

ਸਬ ਡਵੀਜ਼ਨ ਮਜੀਠਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਹਥਿਆਰ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਵਾਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਹੁਕਮ

ਮਜੀਠਾ ਸਬ ਡਵੀਜ਼ਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਥਿਆਰ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਵਾਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਹੁਕਮ ਜਾਰੀ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੮ ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ ੨੦੧੭ ਤੱਕ ਲਾਗੂ ਰਹੇਗਾ। ਸਬ ਡਵੀਜ਼ਨ ਮਜੀਠਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਹਥਿਆਰ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਵਾਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਹੁਕਮ ਜਾਰੀ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੮ ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ ੨੦੧੭ ਤੱਕ ਲਾਗੂ ਰਹੇਗਾ।

Yours faithfully,

K. Ramesh
Assistant Comm

A. Ramesh
Assistant Commissioner

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

Assistant Commissioner

Ph. No. ———

Memo No. 4 / ——— / Appointment / ———

The ——— is hereby appointed as ——— in the ——— Department and posted to ——— with immediate effect.

He / She will be on probation for a period of two years from the date of joining.

His / Her pay will be fixed at ——— in the scale of ———.

He / She will be entitled to such allowances as are admissible under the rules.

He / She will be required to take an oath of allegiance to the Constitution of India before joining.

His / Her appointment is subject to medical fitness and verification of character and antecedents.

If he / she fails to join within ——— days from the date of this order, the appointment shall be deemed to have been cancelled.

By order and in the name of the Governor of ———

(———)

Secretary to Government of ———

Department of ———

K. Ramesh

Yours faithfully,

K. Ramesh

Affidavit Form

I, A. Ramesh, S/o ...

Age: ... Occupation: ...

Address: ...

Do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as follows:

1. That I am the deponent herein and I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.

2. That the contents of the accompanying application are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

3. That I have not concealed any material fact from the Hon'ble Court.

4. That the annexures filed along with the application are true copies of their originals.

5. That I am making this affidavit for the purpose of ...

Verification

Verified at ... on this ... day of ... that the contents of paragraphs 1 to 5 of this affidavit are true to my knowledge and belief and nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

Deponent

Solemnly affirmed before me

Notary Public / Oath Commissioner

(ਗੁਰਮੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੋਢੀ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮੱਲ)

ਸੰਪਰਕ ਨੰ. 57

ਸ਼ਨਾਖਤ ਲਈ ਹੇਠਾਂ ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲਾ

---

ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ

ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਨਾਮ ਪਤੇ ਵਾਲਾ ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਲਿਖੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਸੱਚ ਲਿਖੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਝੂਠ ਨਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਲੁਕਾਇਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਮਰਜ਼ੀ ਨਾਲ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਦਬਾਅ ਦੇ ਲਿਖ ਕੇ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ।

ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲਾ

---

ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ

ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਨਾਮ ਪਤੇ ਵਾਲਾ ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਲਿਖੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਸੱਚ ਲਿਖੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਝੂਠ ਨਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਲੁਕਾਇਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਮਰਜ਼ੀ ਨਾਲ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਦਬਾਅ ਦੇ ਲਿਖ ਕੇ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ।

ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲਾ

---

ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ

ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਨਾਮ ਪਤੇ ਵਾਲਾ ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਲਿਖੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਸੱਚ ਲਿਖੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਝੂਠ ਨਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਲੁਕਾਇਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਮਰਜ਼ੀ ਨਾਲ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਦਬਾਅ ਦੇ ਲਿਖ ਕੇ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ।

ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲਾ

---

ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ

ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਨਾਮ ਪਤੇ ਵਾਲਾ ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਲਿਖੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਸੱਚ ਲਿਖੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਝੂਠ ਨਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਲੁਕਾਇਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਹਲਫੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਮਰਜ਼ੀ ਨਾਲ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਦਬਾਅ ਦੇ ਲਿਖ ਕੇ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ।

ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲਾ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਪਿੰਡ ਬਾਦਲ ਦਾ ਨਿਵਾਸੀ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਹੈ। ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਇਕਲੌਤੀ ਸੰਤਾਨ ਹਾਂ। ਮੇਰੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ 12 ਅਗਸਤ 2023 ਨੂੰ ਅਚਾਨਕ ਮੌਤ ਹੋ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਉਹ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿੱਛੇ ਕੋਈ ਵਸੀਅਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਛੱਡ ਕੇ ਗਏ।

ਮੇਰੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਪਿੰਡ ਬਾਦਲ ਵਿਚ 4 ਏਕੜ 12 ਕਨਾਲ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਅਤੇ ਇਕ ਪੱਕਾ ਮਕਾਨ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਕੋਈ ਹੋਰ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਮੇਰੀ ਮਾਤਾ ਜੀ ਦਾ ਦੇਹਾਂਤ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਤੋਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਹੀ ਹੋ ਚੁੱਕਾ ਹੈ।

ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦਾ ਇਕਲੌਤਾ ਵਾਰਸ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦਾ ਇੰਤਕਾਲ ਮੇਰੇ ਨਾਮ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ। ਇਸ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਮੈਂ ਲੋੜੀਂਦੇ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਹਨ।

ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਮਿਹਰਬਾਨੀ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ,

ਹਰਪ੍ਰੀਤ ਕੌਰ ਬਾਦਲ

Assistant Commissioner

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਕੌਰ ਪਤਨੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਬਲਵੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਪੁਰ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਰੋਪੜ ਦੀ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਬਾਰੇ ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਨਾਂ ਤੇ ਬੋਲਦੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਮੁਟੇਸ਼ਨ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪਟਵਾਰ ਹਲਕਾ ਨੰਬਰ 14 ਦੇ ਪਟਵਾਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਮੌਕੇ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਪਟਵਾਰੀ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੌਕੇ ਤੇ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਇਤਰਾਜ਼ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲਾ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਇਆ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਮੁਟੇਸ਼ਨ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਰੁਕਾਵਟ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਮੁਟੇਸ਼ਨ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਵਾਨਗੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ

| | | |

Assistant Resident's No. 8/5.
G.T. 211-92
dated 5-12-92 noted in the file. Peon sent to last at 4 P.M.
12/5
Sd.

Obtained & sub'd.
Sd. 12/5

This appeal relates to a dispute between the people of Sanjanavanthi of the Bijapur Province and the people of Nandiarimanhalli in the British Territory regarding the possession of the water flowing in the former, from a certain stream a Channel in the bed of the stream situated within the precincts of Sanjanavanthi. The Mysore Ryots having closed the Channel, the Ryots of Nandiarimanhalli opened the Regulator & removed the obstruction, whereupon the Ryots of Sanjanavanthi filed a Criminal Complaint, which ended in the Mysore Ryots being wrong. The Ryots of Nandiarimanhalli were of opinion that the Criminal Court was not competent to try the case, and this was communicated to the Resident in his office letter No. 7977 dated 7th December last G.T. 1951-92.

The present appeal is against the order of the Sessions, which the appellants state was communicated to them on 18th March 1893.

Apart from the question of limitation, it is submitted the appellants have no case, being tenants of the Mysore Ryots, and the previous settlement which the Sessions Court was to decide, the parties were instructed, and it was for them to get at it after full enquiry by the Civil Court, referring the matter to the Resident.

Submitted for orders vide file.
12/5

Dy. to Office-ml 24/5/93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 2832.

Name of Petitioner. Byrappa etc

Village. Sanjanavanthi

Taluk. Bijapur

District. Bijapur

Date of Arzi. 26-11-92

Date of Receipt. 28-11-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the order of the Sessions Court, Bijapur, dated 26-11-92 in Criminal Case No. 1951 of 92 praying that the Channel excavated by the Ryots of Sanjanavanthi in the bed of the stream flowing from Nandiarimanhalli to the lands of the Ryots of Sanjanavanthi may be ordered to be opened & water allowed to flow.

Ref.
Ref. of Assistant Resident's letter No. 45 dated 5 December 92 and the file connected with it.
12/5 Sd.

G.T. No. 211-92 is returned.
Sd. 12/5

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92—1000.

The Reply which was sent to the Assistant

Box
Petitions
Mrk
28/4/93

6/6/93

MYSORE DEPARTMENT
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. No. 2532
DATE 28-4-93

1

12—1

Two Rs stamps
being the process fee
for depositing the Award
& Decree

Receive Miscellaneous Appeal Case
No 451 of 1893.

Appellant's Pleader requests us to
write to the Village Officer of Sivaganga
to furnish us with the Certificate
of Deposit of Rs 34 being the Decree
amount in Suit No 451 of 1892 of this
Court.

Certified Copy. The Taluk Records
do also a Certified Copy of the Decree
passed in Original Suit

[illegible] of the Seven Tribes of Israel
in the Wilderness [illegible]

The [illegible] of Appeal are the
following: viz.

I. From the decision of the
Rivers in relation to the boundary of the
village of Necastria to the Northern
[illegible]

II. That he erred in the decision
in declaring that the Corporation of the
Town of Necastria in the exercise of
the power of Quo Warranto in the year
1[illegible] had forfeited its
charter by reason of the Corporation
neglecting to elect the Recorder of the
Town [illegible] by the Charter
within the time limited for the election
by the Charter and by the Act of
Assembly [illegible] the Charter
[illegible] the Recorder
[illegible] the Mayor, viz. Necastria
[illegible]

IV. And be it further enacted, that the said Commissioners, or a majority of them, shall have power, and they are hereby authorized, to cause to be made a good and sufficient road, from the said Village of Bellefontaine, to the most convenient place on the Great Miami River, at or near the mouth of Mad River, and to cause the same to be kept in good repair, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across Mad River, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of said river and the said Village of Bellefontaine, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of Mad River and the said Village of Dayton, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the said Village of Dayton and the mouth of the Great Miami River, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of the Great Miami River and the Village of Hamilton, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the said Village of Hamilton and the mouth of Four Mile Creek, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across Four Mile Creek, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of said creek and the said Village of Hamilton, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of Four Mile Creek and the Village of Middletown, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the said Village of Middletown and the mouth of Seven Mile Creek, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across Seven Mile Creek, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of said creek and the said Village of Middletown, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of Seven Mile Creek and the Village of Dayton, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the said Village of Dayton and the mouth of Mad River, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across Mad River, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of said river and the said Village of Bellefontaine, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the mouth of Mad River and the Village of Bellefontaine, and to cause to be erected and kept in repair, a good and sufficient bridge across the Great Miami River, at the most convenient place, between the said Village of Bellefontaine

the water-courses of the said town and precincts are injuriously affected: the wells belonging to the said houses of Lancaster do much supply of water as they usually used to have for the purposes of cultivation and the said houses are liable to expose through the pipes of conveyed water which would diminish the said supply both as to the quality and quantity.

And the said Corporation, their successors in office shall from time to time, and at all times hereafter, at their own proper costs and charges, cause all such sewers, drains and watercourses as shall be found necessary for the effectual draining of the said town and precincts, and for the effectual cleansing of the streets, lanes, and passages thereof, and

16th March 1893.

Petition.

The Petitioners were heard by Counsel that though the order of the Secretary of State dated 16th December 1892, fixed the Commencement of their case on the 15th March 1893. The Petitioners desired that the hearing of the said Petition might be postponed for a fortnight. A copy of the said Petition was also presented by the Memorialists praying that the Petition might be heard on the 22nd February 1893. The Counsel for the Petitioners was ready on the 15th March 1893. The Petitioners therefore desired that the said

26-4-1893

The Hyatt and Lacemaker Company respectfully pray that the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Customs may be requested to order that Patent Rights - shall not be exercised with the Crown in relation to the Invention [illegible]

26-4-1893 [illegible]



# IN THE COURT OF THE

OF

CASE No OF 189 3

}vs{

I the above named do, by these presents retain Mr to appear for and conduct the above case, on behalf to Judgment.

2 further empower them to execute any decree or order that may be passed in behalf.

3 also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by the said in so doing.

Dated 25 — 4 — 1893

Accepted.

Signed before me

25/4/93.

Lutchmi Narasimha Press Myore,

No. 2832

6-6-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

6- 6- 1893

Endorsement granted to Sirsana of Singanavarahundadi Gadag Taluk, Dimbal District (through the H. J. Narasimhaiya Bhatt)

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 2832 dated 26th April 93

applying for [illegible] in the matter of the suit between the Rajas of Sugganahalli & Sondekoppa of Dimbal regarding certain [illegible] in the village of the Circle situated within the limits of Singanavarahundadi.

Petitioner is informed that the Government decline to interfere with the order passed by the Commissioner.

The enclosures are returned

6/6/93

"By Order"

Draft
(Criminal Order)

3/6.

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

2 C 32

Open file No 211 connected
with letter No 5556, 22-12-92
from the Deputy Commissioner, has been sent
to Current Records
7-6-93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

4

No. 547

Name of Petitioner. Subbiah

Village. Singaravanathumalli

Taluk. Maddagiri

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 20-8-93

Date of Receipt. 22-8-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. three

ABSTRACT.

Review Application.

Says that the order declining in connection with the excava of the spring channel by the villagers & the proprietors to interfere with the rules of the Deputy Commissioner, contained in this office endorsement no 2832, dated 5/6 June 893, may be reviewed & a hearing may be granted to him.

Application for review of the Proceedings dated 6th June 1893 -

In time -

Stamp sufficient.

Submitted with Return 22/8/93 8th April 1893, and general Petn file No 211 of 1892 -

The facts of the case are noted on the docket of 8th Sept 1892.

The Petitioner is represented by an advocate, asks for a hearing - he has obtained copies of the proceedings here objected to & has addressed the Deputy Commissioner & the Revenue Board & the President not still persists in his claim to the exclusive use of the spring & to prevent the villagers from taking water from it for irrigation.

Report -

Order

The subject matter of the Petition having been disposed of on a thorough enquiry, the Govt decline to re-open the case -

sd/-

19/12/93

Reject a similar Petition

Box
Petn Pr.
Annexures

9-1-94

547 R
22-8-93

In K.D. Nagarkurnool
19-8-93

In K.D. Nagarkurnool
19.8.93.

One Rupee stamp

1

Before the Commissioner of Revenue Divisional Officer
The Addl Rajah of Wanaparthy
Revenue Miscellaneous Appeal Case
No of 1893.

Appellant - Paramesh residing in the village of Kapsarai in the Mandal of Kandala Tammalle of the Nagarkurnool Taluq, Telugu District

The Appellant above named most humbly and respectfully begs to state as follows: ——

I. That he had preferred an appeal against the Order No 45/1 dated 30th December 1892 of the Revenue Divisional Officer of the Telugu District.

II. That the said Petition is registered as Registered No 2382 dated 26th April 1893.

III. The Order on Registered No 2382 dated the 3/6 June 1893 was passed by the Petitioner, by the effect that the Petitioner received to interfere with the Order passed by the Revenue Officer.

IV. The grounds of appeal are fully stated in the said appeal petition referred to in the 3rd para of the Petition.

V. He begs to submit that the only witness

d/- That by the excavation of the Spring channel in the River bed within a distance of from 1.40 to 44 Chains from the western bank of the River within the lands of Keyser in Thanbellville &c, the water courses of the said Lands and gardens are injuriously affected the wells situated in the said properties not having sufficient supply of water as they usually used before for purposes of cultivation and the said properties are liable to erosion through the want of necessary water which would diminish the said properties both in quality and quantity.

In the foregoing among other reasons, the Petitioners pray that the Order prayed may be kindly reviewed and the Petitioners also most humbly and respectfully pray that he may be kindly granted a hearing in the case.

The Petitioners most humbly pray to take that the Records referred to in para 5 of the Petition may be kindly perused and perused.

Certified Copy of the Order of the Deputy Commissioner of 18th September 1893 and also a certified Copy of the Memorandum of the Grounds of Appeal against the said Decision are herewith produced.

The undertaking of 28-32 of 6th June 1893 signed by the Petitioners from the Office also be produced - Dr. Bloomfield

Receipt
20th Sept
1893 —

No. 547

20-8-93 2000-
9-1-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

1st December 1893

Endorsement granted to Patamma of Lingasamudram
Ramalingiah Erlak, represented by Mr. T. Ramaswamiengar
advocate

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 547 dated 20. 8. 93
praying for reconsideration of the order of 9th September 1893
rejecting his appeal regarding a encroachment in the
bed of the stream in Lingasamudram

Petitioner is informed that the subject matter of the petition
having been disposed of on a thorough enquiry,
the Government decline to re-open the case.

The enclosures are herewith returned

ec
4.11.93

"By Order"

Asst
(Councillor)

Chief Secretary.

L.C.
19/12

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.


మోజి శింగరావనహళ్ళి
నల్లలచంటిహాళ్ళ
లాలూకమద్దగిరి
డిస్ట్రిక్టలుమకూర
దివిజనేనందిమూగా
ఇలాహాబ్లేనూర
నంనేఇనాని
సర్వే
పశ్చిమదిగే
ఉత్తరదిగే
పురజా
గా
కామనహళ్ళి
శ్రీనివాసపుర
బనవనహళ్ళిమంది



"True Copy"

Deputy Commissioner

24th December 1892.

Kurnool District

Statement Showing the depth

of the Wells - Dec 31

Survey No 70 - Well of 6' in depth

do No 71 do of 4' do

do No 72 do of 7' do

do do 77 do of 6½ do

do do 86 do of 7' do

The stream is 6 feet below the [redacted]

Lands.

[illegible]

Well

A. Annicut & drains

B. Groundwork channel or aqueduct

Kithagaly

Talaperki Nala

NAVIHANA

TIRUNELVELI

RAMNAD

TANJORE

TRICHINOPOLY

MADURA


B
A
Nandarajanhully
Singaravathanachully
Spring channel
Singaravathanachully
Nandarajanhully
Spring channel
A Disputed spring channel
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
Revenue PLAN of the DISPUTE between Singaravathanachully & Nandarajanhully about Spring Channel
HER MAJESTY's boundary